

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DC. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/764,254	01/19/2001	Takemi Hasegawa	50212-186	5674	
20277	1590 04/14/2003				
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			PRASAD, CH	PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2839		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	.		
Office Action Summary		09/764,254	HASEGAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chandrika Prasad	2839			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any - earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) diswill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /	March 2003				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 /	nis action is non-final.				
2a)□	, _		procedution as to the morits is			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			•		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		(-) (1) (D			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🖾 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application	n).		
	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachmen						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/764,254

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The reply filed on 03/31/03 consists of remarks related to rejections of claims.

 The claims are not allowable as explained below.
- 2. Upon further search and review, the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is withdrawn and a new non-final rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim1-4 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lyengar et al. in view of DiGiovanni et al (5,802,236).

lyengar (Figures 8-11) shows an optical fiber having a core region 35 of a homogeneous medium and a plurality of cladding regions 36-40 made of homogeneous materials surrounding the core region forming a multi-fold rotational symmetry (plurality of concentric regions) wherein the refractive indices of the cladding regions are different and the refractive indices of several regions are lower than those of the two adjacent regions. Iyengar shows the refractive index of the core region being greater than that of the cladding regions, But Iyengar does not show some of the cladding region having a plurality of sub medium regions with refractive index lower than that of the main medium and a hexagonal structure. DiViovanni (Figures 1-5) shows cladding regions with sub

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/764,254

Art Unit: 2839

medium regions (voids) with refractive index lower than that of the main medium (silica) constituting the cladding region. Figures 2 and 5 shows a sub medium having a hexagonal cell 23, 52. Figure 6 shows the chromatic dispersion of the optical fiber at a number of wavelengths. Also see Column 3, lines 12-37, 53-65; Column 5, lines 57-60; Column 6, lines 3-9, Column 6, lines 3-9; Column 7, lines 47-54; Column 8, lines 37-44 and Column 10, lines 1-12 for description.

5. Claims 5-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lyengar et al. in view of DiGiovanni et al (5,802,236).

Iyengar and DiGiovanni show all the features of these claims except specific values or relative values of the refractive indices, certain operating parameters such as optical power and wavelengths and the use of another fiber with positive chromatic dispersion. DiGiovanni discloses the use of another fiber for compensating dispersion to be known. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide these features and select certain values and parameters for the Iyengar fiber as modified by DiGiovanni because it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material and operating parameters on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Iyengar shows a plurality of cladding regions having refractive index lower than those of the two adjacent layers.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,254

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Unger and Zarian et al also show an optical fiber with a core and a plurality of claddings.

Contact Information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (703) 308-0977.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (general) and (703) 872-9319 for after-final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or processing should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent examiner April 9, 2003